

Daniel S. Mount, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 77517)
 Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 154512)
 Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 229683)
 Kevin M. Pasquinelli, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 246985)
 Mount & Stoelker, P.C.
 RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
 333 West San Carlos Street
 San Jose CA 95110-2740
 Phone: (408) 279-7000
 Fax: (408) 998-1473
 Email: dmount@mount.com
 kspelman@mount.com
 dfingerman@mount.com
 kpasquinelli@mount.com

E-FILED 6/5/2008

Attorneys for Defendants Romi Mayder,
 Silicon Test Systems Inc., and Silicon Test Solutions LLC

United States District Court
 Northern District of California, San Jose Division

VERIGY U.S. INC., a Delaware corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;
 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual;
 SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a
 California corporation; SILICON TEST
 SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited
 liability corporation,

Defendants.

) Case No. 5:07-cv-04330 (RMW) (HRL)

) ~~XXXXXX~~ Order Granting Defendants'
) Administrative Motion For Leave To File
) Documents Under Seal

) [Re: Docket No. 217]

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
 RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650
 333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET
 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740
 TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000

1 Now before the court is the *Defendants' Administrative Motion For Leave To File Documents*
 2 *Under Seal*. Upon consideration of the motion and the supporting declaration filed therewith, the
 3 court finds there to be good cause for granting the Defendants' request to file documents under seal.
 4 Good cause having been shown, the court finds that the parties possess an overriding confidentiality
 5 interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record for portions of the document entitled
 6 *Defendants Reply Brief to Verigy's Opposition to Defendants Motion to Compel Amended Responses*
 7 *and Production of Responsive Documents*.

8 The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the above-identified
 9 documents. A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interest would
 10 be prejudiced if the record is not sealed. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored, and no less
 11 restrictive means exist to achieve the parties' overriding interests.

12 It is therefore ordered that the *Defendants Reply Brief to Verigy's Opposition to Defendants*
 13 *Motion to Compel Amended Responses and Production of Responsive Documents* is GRANTED.

14
 15 Dated: June 5, 2008

Howard R. Lloyd
 United States Magistrate Judge

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
 RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650
 333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET
 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740
 TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000